2010-11 Program Assessment Report

Program:	Education	AAC Coach: Dr. Steven Gilbert	Date:	2/10/10
----------	-----------	-------------------------------	-------	---------

Form	Criteria	Elements of Complete Documentation	AAC Assessment* 4 = Complete 3 = In Progress 2 = Developing 1 = Unacceptable	Comments If you have assessed the program's documentation at a level 3, 2, or 1, please outline what is needed in further revisions.		
А	Mission Statement	 Brief and memorable Clearly states purpose of the program Indicates stakeholders Supports mission of Newberry College 	4	Clearly establishes the department's purpose. Very good job of concretely describing its intended impact on students.		
Α	Program Learning Outcomes	 List is comprehensive (reasonable and appropriate). PLOs focus on what graduates will know and be able to do at program completion. PLOs are measurable. 3-5 PLOs will be assessed during current assessment cycle. 	4	Comprehensive list of measurable goals.		
В	Plan for Program Assessments	 Each PLO will be assessed with at least two different assessment instruments. For each data set/instrument Faculty have agreed on course(s) where data will be collected. Stakeholders are specified. One or more faculty contact(s) has been specified. Contacts are responsible for collecting the indicated data. Faculty have agreed on specific criteria for determining program mastery of the indicated PLO. 	4	Well organized. It appears that Cindy is doing a lot of the assessment work. Might need to divide it up a little more.		
В	Assessment Instrument(s)	 All instruments clearly measure the knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions indicated in the PLO. Assessment instruments are varied within and across PLOs. 	4	Diverse group of measurements.		
Other Comments:		Excellent plan that reflects careful preparation and thinking.				

^{* 4 =} Complete (all elements present) | 3 = In Progress (missing one element) | 2 = Developing (missing two elements) | 1 = Unacceptable (missing three or more elements)